

Mail - Tunc Ali Kutukcuoglu

Dear Mrs Pomeranz

Thank you for the reply. In this case I won't submit a paper because I think it would not be selected due to its heterodox and holistic nature.

I wonder how you can discuss the details of economic development (tax, insurance etc.) without having a sound and scientific definition of economic development.

Or do you? Maybe I am wrong; in this case I would like to read your definition of economic development. I hope, you don't associate economic development and sustainable welfare with one-dimensional and mechanistic money measures like GDP.

I guess, you are already be aware of the fact that all these concepts like "economic growth", "technological progress" and "economic development" in the mainstream (neoclassical) sense are highly disputed terms without solid scientific basis.

For many students of economics (including myself) they are not scientific concepts; just corporate marketing propaganda, like "Green Revolution" in the context of agriculture.

For anyone who is interested in scientific issues and sustainable welfare I would recommend following resources to understand the criticism about the mainstream concepts mentioned above:

- 1) Imperiale Lebensweise und AufKostenAnderer.org (global/imperial economic sytem, the role of WB, WTO and IMF)
- 2) Doughnut Economics, Kate Raworth (incl. mechanistic reductionism in GDP)
- 3) Teaching Unsustainability, Tom Green (attached)
- 4) The Church of Economism and Its Discontents, R. Norgaard (attached)
- 5) How Green are Principle Texts, J. Reardon (attached)
- 6) Ecosystem Mutilation Business: How some corporations profit from the destruction of self-sufficient & sustainable ecosystems (T. Kutukcuoglu)
- 7) Who Feeds the World, Vandana Shiva (the myth of Green Revolution)

I think, your students must be aware of all these critiques in order to be aware of certain dogmas (corporate pseudoscience) in the mainstream theory. There is no science without critique and counter-critique, only pseudoscience.

For your information... I felt the necessity to write this email as a reminder, because we as humanity don't have much more time to loose with mainstream corporate dogmas that work against sustainable life on earth.

Best regards

Tunc Ali Kütükcüoglu

From: Dina Pomeranz <dina.pomeranz@uzh.ch>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2019 11:48 PM
To: Tunc Ali Kutukcuoglu
Cc: Dina, Pomeranz; annemarie kaufmann
Subject: Re: Paper for 4th Zurich Conference on 16. December - a question

Dear Mr. Kutukcuoglu,

If the topic of your paper fits in the analysis of public finance in developing countires (i.e. taxation, public procurement, unemployment insurance, public pensions, etc.) then it is within the topic of the conference and you are definitely free to submit. The selection of the paper happens in a competitive process by committee. Unfortunately, since we usually receive around 100 submissions, we can only accept a small fraction of all submitted papers.

best regards,

Dina Pomeranz

<https://www.econ.uzh.ch/en/eventsandseminars/workshopsandconferences/CED-conference-19.html>

Dear Mrs Pomeranz

Have you seen my question in twitter:

Would you accept a critical paper about the mainstream (neoclassical) meaning of "development" and its discontents?

My paper would be about: How the mainstream concept of "economic development" deviates from sustainable welfare for the majority.

In my opinion, the audience must be aware of such multidisciplinary (pluralist & holistic) issues, and different points of views.

Best regards

Tunc Ali Kütükcüoglu

Mobile: +41 78 842 24 27

About me:

<https://www.tuncalik.com/about-me/>

PS: Currently I am working on a multidisciplinary PhD in economy & environment at the University of Corsica. My subject is: Why does the theory and education of mainstream economics ignore ecology?